

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT DE COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/079,345	02/20/2002	Byung Sun Hwang	035759-000001	7945	
28722 7	590 01/07/2004		EXAMINER		
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P.			FLANDRO, RYAN M		
P.O. BOX 969 AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
nooni, in vovor osos			3679		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1//				
	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/079,3	345	HWANG, BYUNG SUN					
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
	Ryan M F		3679					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi - Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and will by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be to atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the splication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timel m the mailing date of this c IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 October 200</u>	<u>03</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,8,10-12,14,15 and 18-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· _	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,8,10-12,14,15,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
	 Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
	on and/or election	requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	by the Examiner. I	tote the attached office		10 102.				
	ior forcian priority u	indor 35 S.C. & 110	(a) (d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first senter	ocuments have be locuments have be f the priority document al Bureau (PCT Rufor a list of the cer domestic priority unin the first sentence guage provisional ar domestic priority unin the street priority unin the first sentence guage provisional ar domestic priority unin the street priority unin	een received. een received in Application have been received in Application 17.2(a)). etified copies not receive under 35 U.S.C. § 119 application has been received.	ation No ved in this National ved. 0(e) (to a provisional or in an Application eceived. 20 and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment(s)		4) Intonious Surrer	ni (DTO 412) Danar Na	(0)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 		4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/079,345 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of group II (figures 6-10) in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The requirement is thus deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**. Claims 3, 6, 7, 9, 13, 16 and 17 have been cancelled by the Applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hipshire (US 5,735,310).
 - a. Claim 1. Hipshire shows and discloses end posts 2 having a plurality of rail openings 12 located on facing surfaces of the end posts 2; rails 40 extending between and terminating (see figure 4) in the rail openings 12 such that the rails 40 are fully supported by the end posts 2 within the rail openings 12; panels 50 mounted directly to and fully supported only by the rails 40; and pucks 3 for joining the rails 40 and the panels 50 together, and wherein the pucks 3 are void of threaded fasteners (see figures 1, 2, 4 and 5 and corresponding text in the written disclosure).
 - b. Claim 2. Hipshire further shows and discloses the end posts 2 and panels 50 are generally vertically oriented, and the rails 40 are generally horizontally oriented, and the

Art Unit: 3679

pucks 3 extend horizontally between the rails 40 and the panels 50 (see figures 1, 2, 4 and 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 4, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hipshire, as applied above, in view of Montgomery (US 4,289,302).
 - a. Claim 4. Hipshire discloses that each of the posts 2, rails 40, and panels 50 are formed from the same type of material (plastic preferably) (see column 1 lines 61-64) but fails to disclose that the material can be reinforced concrete. Reinforced concrete fences wherein the various components are made out of reinforced concrete are well known in the art as evidenced by Montgomery (see e.g., column 2 lines 54-56). Furthermore, the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use reinforced concrete rather than plastic depending upon the particular application and/or environment of the fence assembly as taught by Montgomery.
 - b. Claim 5. Hipshire shows the rail openings 12 in each post comprise a notch located at one end of the post 2 (e.g. the top opening 12) but does not show a blind hole located adjacent to an opposite end of the post 2. Montgomery, however, teaches that rail openings 4 or 6 are commonly blind holes when the rail 2 is not meant to extend through the entire post 1 (see figures 2, 2a, 3a, 4 and 4a). It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 3679

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the rail opening 12 at an opposite end of the post 2 a blind hole since the rails 40 in Hipshire do not extend through the post 2 as taught by Montgomery.

- c. Claim 8. The combination of Hipshire and Montgomery further may include a bond located between the end posts 2, rails 40, and panels 50 to form a more rigid structure, wherein the bond is an adhesive (see Montgomery figure 6b; column 3 line 44 column 4 line 2).
- 5. Claims 10, 11, 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hipshire, as applied above, in view of Driscoll et al. (US 6,311,957) (Driscoll).
 - a. Claim 11. Hipshire shows and discloses a plurality of end posts 2, each having a pair of upper rail openings 12 located on one end of the end posts 2, and a pair of lower rail openings 12 located adjacent to an opposite end of the end posts 2, wherein the upper and lower rail openings 12 are located on facing surfaces of the end posts 2; an upper rail 40 extending between each adjacent pair of the end posts 2, wherein the upper rails 40 terminate in the upper rail openings 12 such that the rails 40 are fully supported by the end posts 2 within the upper rail openings 12; a lower rail 40 extending between each adjacent pair of the end posts 2, wherein the lower rails 40 terminate in the lower rail openings 12 such that the rails 40 are fully supported by the end posts 2 within the lower rail openings 12 (see Hipshire figures 1, 2, 4 and 5). Hipshire further shows and discloses a plurality of panels 50 mounted directly to and fully supported by only the rails 40; a plurality of openings in each of the upper and lower rails 40 and the panels 50,

Art Unit: 3679

wherein axially adjacent ones of the openings are coaxial; and a plurality of pucks 3 extending between the coaxial openings and securing the panels 50 to the rails 40 (see figures 1, 2, 4 and 5 and corresponding text in the written disclosure).

- i. Hipshire lacks disclosure of inserts mounted in each of the openings.
- ii. Driscoll, however, teaches the use of mounting inserts 20 in openings 15 to enable connections wherein the member inserted into the insert is non-circular (see figures 1 and 2b). This allows simple construction because a standard drill sized for the insert may still be used.
- iii. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include inserts mounted in the each of the openings of Hipshire to allow for connections using non-circular pucks as taught by Driscoll.
- b. Claim 12. Hipshire further shows and discloses the end posts 2 and the panels 50 being generally vertically oriented and the rails 40 being generally horizontally oriented, and the pucks 3 extending horizontally between the rails 40 and the panels 50 (see Hipshire figures 1, 2, 4 and 5).
- c. Claim 10. Hipshire, as applied to claim 1 above, further shows and discloses the pucks 3 mounted in facing surfaces of the rails 40 and the panels 50 such that associated ones of the pucks 3 extend between adjacent openings in the rails 40 and panels 50 (see figures 1, 2, 4, and 5).
 - i. Hipshire lacks disclosure of inserts located in each of the rails 40 and the panels 50 for receiving the pucks 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,345 Page 6

Art Unit: 3679

ii. Driscoll, however, teaches the use of mounting inserts 20 in openings 15 to enable connections wherein the member inserted into the insert is non-circular (see figures 1 and 2b). This allows simple construction because a standard drill sized for the insert may still be used.

- iii. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include inserts mounted in the each of the openings of Hipshire to allow for connections using non-circular pucks as taught by Driscoll.
- d. Claim 19. Hipshire shows and discloses a plurality of end posts 2, each having a pair of upper rail openings 12 located on one end of the end posts 2, and a pair of lower rail openings 12 located adjacent to an opposite end of the end posts 2, wherein the upper and lower rail openings 12 are located on facing surfaces of the end posts 2; an upper rail 40 extending between each adjacent pair of the end posts 2, wherein the upper rails 40 terminate in the upper rail openings 12 such that the rails 40 are fully supported by the end posts 2 within the upper rail openings 12; a lower rail 40 extending between each adjacent pair of the end posts 2, wherein the lower rails 40 terminate in the lower rail openings 12 such that the rails 40 are fully supported by the end posts 2 within the lower rail openings 12 (see figures 1, 2, 4 and 5 and corresponding text in the written disclosure). Hipshire further discloses a plurality of panels 50 mounted directly to and fully supported by only the rails 40, wherein the panels 50 and the rails 40 have vertical facing surfaces that abut each other, and pucks 3 for joining the rails 40 and the panels 50

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/079,345

Art Unit: 3679

such that the pucks 3 are void of threaded fasteners for securing the panels 50 to the rails 40.

- i. Hipshire lacks disclosure of inserts located in each of the rails 40 and in each of the panels 50, wherein axially adjacent ones of the inserts extend horizontally toward each other in a coaxial relationship.
- ii. Driscoll, however, teaches the use of mounting inserts 20 in openings 15 to enable connections wherein the member inserted into the insert is non-circular (see figures 1 and 2b). This allows simple construction because a standard drill sized for the insert may still be used.
- iii. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include inserts mounted in the each of the openings of Hipshire such that axially adjacent ones extended horizontally toward each other in a coaxial relationship to allow for connections using non-circular pucks as taught by Driscoll.
- 6. Claims 14, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Hipshire and Driscoll, as applied above, further in view of Montgomery.
 - a. Claim 14. Hipshire discloses that each of the posts 2, rails 40, and panels 50 are formed from the same type of material (plastic preferably) (see column 1 lines 61-64) but fails to disclose that the material can be reinforced concrete. Driscoll also lacks such disclosure. Reinforced concrete fences wherein the various components are made out of reinforced concrete are, however, well known in the art as evidenced by Montgomery

Art Unit: 3679

(see e.g., column 2 lines 54-56). Furthermore, the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use reinforced concrete rather than plastic depending upon the particular application and/or environment of the fence assembly as taught by Montgomery.

- b. Claim 15. Hipshire shows the rail openings 12 in each post comprise a notch located at one end of the post 2 (e.g. the top opening 12) as well as pucks 3 mounted in facing surfaces of rails 40 and panels 50. As set forth above, Driscoll teaches the use of inserts 20. The combination of Hipshire and Driscoll does not include a blind hole located adjacent to an opposite end of the post 2. Montgomery, however, teaches that rail openings 4 or 6 are commonly blind holes when the rail 2 is not meant to extend through the entire post 1 (see figures 2, 2a, 3a, 4 and 4a). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the rail opening 12 at an opposite end of the post 2 a blind hole since the rails 40 in Hipshire do not extend through the post 2 as taught by Montgomery.
- c. Claim 18. The combination of Hipshire, Driscoll and Montgomery further may include a bond located between the end posts 2, rails 40, and panels 50 to form a more rigid structure, wherein the bond is an adhesive (see Montgomery figure 6b; column 3 line 44 column 4 line 2).

Art Unit: 3679

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 9

7. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art, including Hipshire, Driscoll, Montgomery, Lyman, and Ferris, either alone or in combination, fails to disclose or teach the inserts located in the rails protruding outward from the vertical facing surfaces of the rails.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3679

Page 10

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to rail

and panel fencing systms:

U.S. Patent 4,477,058 to Lowery (see figures 1, 3, and 4)

U.S. Patent 4,200,260 to Dailey et al. (see figure 10)

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ryan M Flandro whose telephone number is (703) 305-6952.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:30pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

RMF

December 24, 2003

John R. Cottingham
Patent Examiner

Lynne H. Browne

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 3670